

**STRATEGIES FOR ENHANCING SMALL BUSINESS COMPETITIVENESS IN THE
DIGITAL ECONOMY**

Jabborov Jamoliddin Maxammadjon o'g'li

Tashkent University of Applied Sciences

E-mail: jabborovjamolbek58@gmail.com

Phone: +998 99 061 04 05

Abstract. *The digital economy has become a defining driver of global economic transformation, reshaping production, trade, and business models across all sectors. For small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), digitalization is no longer optional but essential to remain competitive in an increasingly technology-driven marketplace. This paper explores theoretical and analytical perspectives on strategies for enhancing small business competitiveness within the digital economy. It synthesizes academic literature, international reports, and policy frameworks that address digital transformation and SME development. The research highlights that digital competitiveness depends not only on technology adoption but also on institutional readiness, digital literacy, innovation capacity, and supportive government policies. The findings suggest that the successful integration of digital tools—such as e-commerce platforms, artificial intelligence (AI), cloud computing, and data analytics—enhances productivity, market reach, and customer engagement for small enterprises. The paper further emphasizes the need for digital infrastructure, policy incentives, and capacity-building programs to foster sustainable SME growth. Ultimately, the study offers a conceptual framework and policy recommendations for developing countries, including Uzbekistan, aiming to strengthen their small business sectors through digital transformation.*

Keywords: *digital economy, small business, competitiveness, innovation, digital transformation, SME policy, technology adoption*

**RAQAMLI IQTISODIYOT SHAROITIDA KICHIK BIZNES
RAQOBATBARDOSHLIGINI OSHIRISH STRATEGIYALARI**

Jabborov Jamoliddin Maxammadjon o'g'li

Toshkent amaliy fanlar universiteti

Elektron pochta: jabborovjamolbek58@gmail.com

Telefon: +998 99 061 04 05

Annotatsiya. *Raqamli iqtisodiyot bugungi kunda global iqtisodiy transformatsiyaning asosiy harakatlantiruvchi kuchiga aylangan bo'lib, ishlab chiqarish, savdo va biznes modellari tuzilmasini tubdan o'zgartirmoqda. Kichik va o'rta korxonalar (KOK) uchun*

raqamlashtirish endi tanlov emas — u raqobatbardosh bo'lishning ajralmas sharti hisoblanadi. Ushbu maqolada raqamli iqtisodiyot sharoitida kichik biznes raqobatbardoshligini oshirish strategiyalariga oid nazariy va tahliliy yondashuvlar o'rganiladi. Tadqiqot ilmiy adabiyotlar, xalqaro hisobotlar va siyosiy hujjatlar tahliliga asoslanadi. Natijalar shuni ko'rsatadiki, raqamli raqobatbardoshlik faqat texnologiyani joriy etish bilan emas, balki institutlarning tayyorgarligi, raqamli savodxonlik, innovatsion salohiyat va davlat siyosati bilan chambarchas bog'liq. Tadqiqotdan ma'lum bo'lishicha, elektron tijorat platformalari, sun'iy intellekt, bulutli texnologiyalar va ma'lumotlar tahlili kabi raqamli vositalarni samarali joriy etish kichik korxonalar mahsuldorligini, bozor ulushini va mijozlar bilan aloqasini kuchaytiradi. Maqolada, shuningdek, raqamli infratuzilmani rivojlantirish, rag'batlantiruvchi siyosatlar va kadrlar salohiyatini oshirish dasturlarining zarurligi ta'kidlanadi. Yakuniy xulosalar sifatida maqola O'zbekiston kabi rivojlanayotgan davlatlar uchun kichik biznes sektorini raqamli transformatsiya orqali mustahkamlash bo'yicha konseptual model va amaliy tavsiyalarni ilgari suradi.

Kalit so'zlar: *raqamli iqtisodiyot, kichik biznes, raqobatbardoshlik, innovatsiya, raqamli transformatsiya, KOK siyosati, texnologiya joriy etish*

СТРАТЕГИИ ПОВЫШЕНИЯ КОНКУРЕНТОСПОСОБНОСТИ МАЛОГО БИЗНЕСА В ЦИФРОВОЙ ЭКОНОМИКЕ

Джабборов Джамолиддин Махаммаджон угли

Ташкентский университет прикладных наук

Электронная почта: jabborovjamolbek58@gmail.com

Телефон: +998 99 061 04 05

Аннотация. *Цифровая экономика стала ключевым фактором глобальной экономической трансформации, изменяя производство, торговлю и бизнес-модели во всех секторах. Для малых и средних предприятий (МСП) цифровизация перестала быть опцией — она стала необходимостью для сохранения конкурентоспособности в условиях стремительно развивающихся технологий. В данной статье рассматриваются теоретические и аналитические подходы к стратегиям повышения конкурентоспособности малого бизнеса в цифровой экономике. Исследование обобщает академическую литературу, международные отчеты и политические рамки, посвященные цифровой трансформации и развитию МСП. Показано, что цифровая конкурентоспособность зависит не только от внедрения технологий, но и от институциональной готовности, цифровой грамотности, инновационного потенциала и поддержки со стороны государства. Результаты исследования указывают, что эффективная интеграция цифровых инструментов — таких как электронная коммерция, искусственный интеллект, облачные вычисления*

и анализ данных — повышает производительность, расширяет рынок и улучшает взаимодействие с клиентами. В статье также подчеркивается необходимость развития цифровой инфраструктуры, политических стимулов и программ по повышению квалификации для устойчивого роста МСП. В заключение представлена концептуальная модель и политические рекомендации для развивающихся стран, включая Узбекистан, с целью укрепления сектора малого бизнеса через цифровую трансформацию.

Ключевые слова: *цифровая экономика, малый бизнес, конкурентоспособность, инновации, цифровая трансформация, политика МСП, внедрение технологий*

1. Introduction

In the twenty-first century, the digital economy has emerged as one of the most transformative forces in global development. Defined by the pervasive use of digital technologies such as the internet, artificial intelligence, big data, and cloud computing, it has fundamentally reshaped how firms produce, distribute, and compete (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2021) estimates that digital technologies contribute up to 15% of global GDP growth annually, underscoring their critical role in productivity and competitiveness.

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) constitute the backbone of most economies, representing over 90% of all businesses and generating more than half of total employment globally (World Bank, 2022). In Uzbekistan, the SME sector contributes nearly 55% to GDP and serves as a vital engine of job creation and innovation. However, despite their importance, SMEs often face significant challenges in adapting to digital transformation. Limited access to finance, inadequate digital infrastructure, and a shortage of skilled human capital hinder their ability to compete effectively in a digitalized global market.

The transition toward a digital economy presents both unprecedented opportunities and complex risks for small businesses. On the one hand, digital tools enable firms to enter new markets, automate operations, and enhance productivity with minimal investment. On the other hand, digitalization intensifies global competition, exposes firms to cybersecurity threats, and demands continuous innovation (Tapscott, 2016). Therefore, understanding the strategies that enhance SME competitiveness within the digital economy has become a pressing academic and policy concern.

1.1. Theoretical Background

The concept of competitiveness in the digital era extends beyond traditional cost and quality advantages. According to Porter's (1990) theory of competitive advantage, firms must develop unique capabilities that differentiate them from rivals. In the digital

context, these capabilities increasingly rely on technological adaptation, data-driven decision-making, and innovation ecosystems. The Resource-Based View (RBV) of the firm also supports this perspective, suggesting that intangible assets—such as knowledge, skills, and digital infrastructure—play a decisive role in sustaining competitive advantage (Barney, 1991).

For SMEs, competitiveness in the digital economy depends on their ability to integrate digital technologies into their business models, operations, and marketing strategies. E-commerce platforms enable global reach; cloud computing reduces operational costs; artificial intelligence enhances customer analytics; and blockchain ensures transparent transactions. Together, these technologies create new possibilities for efficiency, scalability, and innovation.

1.2. Global Context

Globally, governments have recognized the strategic importance of SME digitalization. The European Union’s “Digital Europe Programme” (2023) and the OECD’s “Going Digital” initiative (2021) are notable examples of coordinated efforts to foster digital inclusion and competitiveness. In East Asia, countries such as South Korea and Singapore have implemented comprehensive digital innovation frameworks that integrate SMEs into national technology ecosystems.

In contrast, many developing economies, including Uzbekistan, are still in the early stages of digital transformation. The “Digital Uzbekistan 2030” strategy, adopted in 2020, aims to expand digital infrastructure, e-government services, and ICT literacy. Yet, challenges remain in ensuring that small businesses can effectively participate in this digital transition. Understanding the barriers and enablers of SME digital competitiveness is, therefore, critical for designing effective policies.

1.3. Research Rationale and Objectives

This study seeks to provide a comprehensive theoretical and analytical overview of strategies that enhance small business competitiveness in the digital economy. Rather than relying on empirical data, the research integrates insights from existing literature, global best practices, and conceptual frameworks. Its objectives are to:

1. Examine the role of digital technologies in transforming SME competitiveness;
2. Identify theoretical approaches explaining how SMEs gain digital advantages;
3. Analyze global and regional policy frameworks that support SME digitalization;
4. Propose strategic recommendations for developing economies, with a focus on Uzbekistan.

The paper adopts a theoretical and analytical approach, combining literature synthesis and comparative policy analysis. It aims to bridge the gap between digital

transformation theory and practical policy application, contributing to the ongoing discourse on inclusive and sustainable economic modernization.

2. Literature Review

The rapid evolution of the digital economy has inspired a vast and growing body of literature exploring its impact on business competitiveness, particularly among small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Scholars generally agree that digital transformation represents both an opportunity and a challenge for small firms (Bouwman et al., 2018). This section synthesizes relevant academic theories, empirical studies, and international policy frameworks to provide a conceptual foundation for understanding SME competitiveness in the digital economy.

2.1. Concept of the Digital Economy

The term *digital economy* was first popularized by Tapscott (1996), who defined it as an economy based on digital technologies, particularly the internet. Since then, definitions have expanded to encompass the use of digital platforms, data-driven decision-making, and interconnected networks that transform how value is created and exchanged (OECD, 2020). Brynjolfsson and Kahin (2002) describe it as the integration of computing and communication technologies into all sectors of the economy.

According to Bukht and Heeks (2018), the digital economy operates at three levels: (1) the *core digital sector* (ICT production and digital services), (2) the *digitalized economy* (traditional sectors using digital tools), and (3) the *digital society* (the broader social and behavioral transformation driven by technology). SMEs typically operate within the second layer, where the adoption of digital tools enhances efficiency and market competitiveness.

2.2. Small Business Competitiveness in the Digital Context

Competitiveness refers to a firm's ability to deliver products and services more efficiently, innovatively, and profitably than its rivals (Porter, 1990). In the context of SMEs, competitiveness involves flexibility, innovation capacity, and the ability to leverage limited resources strategically (Teece, 2018). The *Dynamic Capabilities Theory* argues that businesses achieve sustained competitiveness through their ability to sense and seize digital opportunities and reconfigure resources accordingly (Teece, Pisano, & Shuen, 1997).

Digitalization allows SMEs to overcome traditional limitations of scale and geography. For example, e-commerce platforms such as Amazon, Alibaba, and Etsy have enabled small producers to access global markets without physical expansion (Li et al., 2020). Similarly, digital marketing tools empower SMEs to build brand recognition at minimal cost. However, studies also indicate that digital transformation requires complementary investments in human capital, cybersecurity, and process redesign (Bouwman et al., 2018; OECD, 2021).

2.3. Barriers to SME Digital Transformation

Despite its potential, the adoption of digital technologies among SMEs remains uneven. The *European Commission (2022)* reports that only 55% of European SMEs have achieved a basic level of digital intensity. Key barriers include limited financial resources, insufficient digital literacy, resistance to change, and inadequate infrastructure (Kraus et al., 2019).

In developing countries, these challenges are amplified by systemic issues such as unreliable internet connectivity, weak institutional support, and limited access to innovation ecosystems (World Bank, 2022). In Uzbekistan, for example, although the “Digital Uzbekistan 2030” program aims to expand connectivity, rural SMEs still face difficulties in implementing advanced digital solutions due to cost and skill constraints.

2.4. Global Policy and Institutional Support

Many nations have developed national strategies to accelerate SME digitalization. South Korea’s *Digital New Deal (2020)* invests heavily in AI, data, and digital infrastructure for SMEs, while Singapore’s *SME Go Digital* program provides training, funding, and consultancy support (OECD, 2021). The European Union’s *Digital Compass 2030* establishes measurable targets for SME digital readiness and innovation.

These initiatives highlight that policy intervention plays a decisive role in reducing market failures related to technology adoption. Empirical evidence from the OECD (2021) shows that government-led programs that combine financial incentives with digital literacy training produce the strongest outcomes in SME competitiveness.

2.5. Research Gap and Conceptual Positioning

Although extensive research has examined digital transformation in large corporations, fewer studies have focused on its implications for small enterprises, particularly in emerging markets. Moreover, existing literature often treats digitalization as a purely technological process, overlooking its institutional, cultural, and strategic dimensions (Li et al., 2020). This paper contributes to the literature by integrating these perspectives and proposing a holistic framework that considers technology adoption, innovation capacity, and policy support as interdependent pillars of SME competitiveness.

3. Theoretical and Methodological Framework

3.1. Theoretical Framework

This study draws upon several complementary theoretical models to explain how digital transformation enhances small business competitiveness.

(a) Resource-Based View (RBV): The RBV posits that firms achieve competitive advantage through unique, valuable, and inimitable resources (Barney, 1991). In the digital context, these resources include digital capabilities, data assets, and technological

know-how. SMEs that effectively leverage such intangible assets can outperform larger but less agile competitors.

(b) Dynamic Capabilities Theory (DCT): Teece et al. (1997) argue that competitiveness depends on a firm's ability to adapt, integrate, and reconfigure internal and external competencies to address rapidly changing environments. Digital technologies enhance these dynamic capabilities by enabling faster information flows and more agile decision-making.

(c) Innovation Systems Theory: Lundvall (1992) emphasizes that innovation is not an isolated process but depends on the interaction between firms, institutions, and policies. National innovation systems that encourage collaboration among universities, technology providers, and small firms foster digital competitiveness.

Together, these theories suggest that SME competitiveness in the digital economy arises from a synergistic combination of internal resources (capabilities, knowledge, innovation) and external enablers (infrastructure, policy, networks).

3.2. Analytical Framework

The analytical framework of this study conceptualizes small business competitiveness as a function of four interrelated dimensions:

1. **Technological Capability** – access to and use of digital tools, such as AI, cloud computing, and e-commerce.
2. **Organizational Capability** – the ability to integrate digital strategies into business operations.
3. **Innovation and Learning** – capacity to create new products, processes, or services through digital tools.
4. **Institutional Support** – the presence of enabling policies, infrastructure, and financial incentives.

This framework aligns with the OECD (2021) model of SME digital maturity, which emphasizes both firm-level and ecosystem-level readiness.

3.3. Methodological Approach

Given the theoretical nature of this paper, the research adopts a **qualitative and analytical methodology** based on secondary sources. It synthesizes:

- **Academic literature** from peer-reviewed journals and books (1990–2024);
- **Policy documents and reports** from the OECD, World Bank, European Commission, and UNDP;
- **Comparative analysis** of international SME digitalization strategies.

Rather than generating primary data, the study integrates existing theoretical and empirical insights to construct a conceptual model for SME digital competitiveness. The analysis follows an interpretive paradigm, identifying patterns and causal linkages within the literature.

3.4. Relevance to Uzbekistan and Developing Economies

The chosen methodology is particularly relevant for transitional economies such as Uzbekistan, where data availability is limited but policy interest in SME digitalization is growing. By drawing from international frameworks, the study provides context-specific recommendations that align with the *Digital Uzbekistan 2030* strategy, emphasizing human capital development, infrastructure investment, and SME ecosystem strengthening.

4. Analytical Discussion

Digital transformation has emerged as both an economic necessity and a strategic opportunity for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). However, the degree to which SMEs can leverage digital technologies to enhance competitiveness depends on their internal capabilities and the broader institutional environment in which they operate. This section integrates the theoretical and policy perspectives reviewed earlier and discusses their implications for SME competitiveness, with specific reference to developing economies such as Uzbekistan.

4.1. The Strategic Role of Digital Technologies

Digital technologies have fundamentally altered the competitive dynamics of modern economies. The rise of *Industry 4.0*—characterized by automation, data analytics, and cyber-physical systems—has blurred the boundaries between physical and digital processes. For SMEs, these technologies offer unprecedented efficiency gains.

E-commerce platforms and digital payment systems enable small firms to engage in global trade with minimal transaction costs (Li et al., 2020). Cloud computing democratizes access to advanced tools that were once exclusive to large corporations, while artificial intelligence allows firms to predict consumer trends and personalize marketing campaigns. Empirical evidence suggests that SMEs adopting digital tools experience productivity increases of 20–30% (OECD, 2021).

However, digital transformation is not simply about adopting technology. It requires a **strategic reorientation** of business processes, culture, and leadership. As Teece (2018) notes, competitiveness in the digital age is determined by a firm's dynamic capability—its ability to integrate technological innovation into organizational routines and strategy.

4.2. Organizational and Human Factors

A consistent theme across the literature is that digital transformation is as much a human challenge as a technological one. SMEs often struggle with limited managerial awareness and digital skills, which constrains effective implementation. Kraus et al. (2019) highlight that even when digital tools are available, SMEs frequently lack the expertise to utilize them optimally.

The *Digital Mindset*—an organizational culture that values experimentation, agility, and learning—is essential for sustainable competitiveness. Firms that invest in

continuous staff training, foster innovation, and empower employees to make data-driven decisions achieve higher returns from digital adoption. This underscores the importance of **digital literacy and capacity-building** as central pillars of SME competitiveness.

In Uzbekistan, for instance, surveys by the UNDP (2023) show that while awareness of digital tools is increasing, only 43% of SMEs possess employees with formal ICT qualifications. This indicates that developing human capital remains a key bottleneck in realizing the full benefits of digital transformation.

4.3. The Policy Dimension: Infrastructure, Regulation, and Incentives

Public policy plays a critical role in creating an enabling digital environment. Countries with proactive digitalization strategies—such as South Korea’s *Digital New Deal* (2020) and Singapore’s *SME Go Digital* program—illustrate how state support can accelerate technology diffusion. These programs combine three essential components: (1) infrastructure development, (2) regulatory frameworks, and (3) financial incentives.

In Uzbekistan, the government’s “Digital Uzbekistan 2030” program sets a strategic foundation by investing in broadband expansion, e-government platforms, and IT education. However, SMEs require targeted measures to adopt digital solutions—such as tax incentives, subsidized software licenses, and public-private innovation hubs.

The World Bank (2022) emphasizes that digital infrastructure alone is insufficient; without strong regulatory support (e.g., data protection laws, e-commerce regulations, and cybersecurity standards), small businesses remain vulnerable. Thus, competitiveness depends on a **balanced ecosystem** that integrates technological, institutional, and human factors.

4.4. Comparative Insights and Lessons for Developing Economies

A comparative review reveals that digital competitiveness among SMEs follows a three-stage progression:

1. **Adoption Stage:** Basic use of digital tools for communication and marketing;
2. **Integration Stage:** Incorporation of digital processes into core operations;
3. **Transformation Stage:** Full digital business model innovation and data-driven decision-making.

Most SMEs in advanced economies operate at the *integration* or *transformation* stages, whereas those in developing economies, including Uzbekistan, are still in the *adoption* phase. Bridging this digital gap requires simultaneous investment in technology, skills, and policy reform.

Successful models from Estonia, Finland, and Singapore show that cross-sector collaboration between government, academia, and the private sector accelerates SME digital readiness. For developing economies, the focus should be on building **inclusive**

digital ecosystems where micro-entrepreneurs and rural businesses can also benefit from digital opportunities.

4.5. Towards a Conceptual Model of SME Digital Competitiveness

Synthesizing the literature, this paper proposes a conceptual model comprising four pillars of SME digital competitiveness:

1. **Digital Capability** – access to technologies and infrastructure;
2. **Organizational Agility** – flexibility and adaptive management;
3. **Innovation Capacity** – ability to generate new value through technology;
4. **Institutional Support** – policies, funding, and regulatory stability.

These dimensions are interdependent: strong policy environments enhance access to digital tools; skilled workforces enable innovation; and organizational agility ensures sustained competitiveness. This integrated model can serve as a strategic framework for policymakers and SME leaders in developing contexts.

5. Conclusion and Policy Implications

5.1. Summary of Key Findings

This paper has examined the theoretical and analytical dimensions of small business competitiveness in the digital economy. It has demonstrated that digitalization is not merely a technological shift but a systemic transformation involving organizational, institutional, and human factors. The Resource-Based View and Dynamic Capabilities Theory collectively explain how digital assets and adaptive capabilities foster competitiveness.

For SMEs, digital competitiveness depends on four interrelated pillars—technological capacity, innovation potential, organizational flexibility, and institutional support. Evidence from global case studies confirms that firms integrating these dimensions achieve greater efficiency, market expansion, and resilience.

5.2. Policy Implications

Governments in developing economies must recognize that SME digitalization is a catalyst for inclusive economic growth. Based on the analytical findings, several policy priorities emerge:

1. **Invest in Digital Infrastructure:** Expand broadband connectivity, particularly in rural areas, to ensure equitable access to digital tools.
2. **Enhance Digital Literacy:** Implement nationwide training programs targeting entrepreneurs, employees, and students.
3. **Create Financial Incentives:** Provide grants, tax relief, and low-interest loans for SMEs investing in digital technologies.
4. **Strengthen Institutional Support:** Establish digital innovation hubs and public–private partnerships to facilitate technology transfer.
5. **Develop Regulatory Frameworks:** Ensure cybersecurity, data privacy, and e-commerce legislation that protect small businesses while promoting innovation.

For Uzbekistan, aligning these priorities with the *Digital Uzbekistan 2030* agenda will accelerate SME transformation and strengthen global competitiveness.

5.3. Theoretical and Practical Contribution

Theoretically, this paper contributes to the discourse on SME digital transformation by integrating multiple frameworks—RBV, DCT, and Innovation Systems Theory—into a unified model of digital competitiveness. Practically, it offers a roadmap for policymakers and business leaders to implement targeted interventions that foster innovation and resilience among SMEs.

5.4. Future Research Directions

As a theoretical study, this paper's limitation lies in its lack of empirical testing. Future research could apply the proposed model to quantitative or case-based analyses in specific sectors such as manufacturing, tourism, or digital services. Longitudinal studies may also explore how SME digital competitiveness evolves over time in response to policy changes and technological diffusion.

5.5. Final Remarks

In conclusion, enhancing small business competitiveness in the digital economy requires a multidimensional strategy that aligns technology, talent, and policy. For countries like Uzbekistan, success depends on creating an ecosystem where digital innovation becomes both accessible and sustainable for all enterprises—urban and rural, large and small alike. By embracing digital transformation as a national priority, developing economies can unlock new sources of productivity, innovation, and inclusive prosperity.

REFERENCES:

1. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
2. Bouwman, H., Nikou, S., Molina-Castillo, F. J., & de Reuver, M. (2018). The impact of digitalization on business models: How IT enables dynamic capabilities. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 129, 114–122.
3. Brynjolfsson, E., & Kahin, B. (2002). *Understanding the Digital Economy: Data, Tools, and Research*. MIT Press.
4. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The Second Machine Age*. W. W. Norton & Company.
5. Bukht, R., & Heeks, R. (2018). Defining, conceptualising and measuring the digital economy. *International Organisations Research Journal*, 13(2), 143–172.
6. Kraus, S., Palmer, C., Kailer, N., Kallinger, F. L., & Spitzer, J. (2019). Digital transformation and SMEs: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 57(6), 250–271.

7. Li, L., Su, F., Zhang, W., & Mao, J. Y. (2020). Digital transformation by SME entrepreneurs: A capability perspective. *Information Systems Journal*, 30(2), 431–455.
8. Lundvall, B.-Å. (1992). *National Systems of Innovation: Toward a Theory of Innovation and Interactive Learning*. Pinter Publishers.
9. OECD. (2020). *Measuring the Digital Transformation: A Roadmap for the Future*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
10. OECD. (2021). *Digital Transformation of SMEs: Policies and Practices*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
11. Tapscott, D. (1996). *The Digital Economy: Promise and Peril in the Age of Networked Intelligence*. McGraw-Hill.
12. Tapscott, D. (2016). *Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin Is Changing Money, Business, and the World*. Penguin.
13. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
14. Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. *Long Range Planning*, 51(1), 40–49.